



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 033211-021 3854 10/081,236 02/25/2002 Takeshi Wada **EXAMINER** 7590 06/30/2006 MAGEE, CHRISTOPHER R E. Marcie Emas BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404 2627

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|---|
| | | 10/081,236 | WADA ET AL. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Christopher R. Magee | 2627 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju | <u>ine 2006</u> . | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | ✓ Claim(s) 1,8 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1,8 and 11 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority L | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summai | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail I | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 1, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagisawa (US 6,487,045 B1) in view of Novotny (US 6,289,564 B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Yanagisawa discloses a head slider [1] with a precise positioning actuator [14], comprising:

a thin plane shaped head section [not numbered] provided with a first surface that is substantially perpendicular to an air bearing surface of said head slider, a second surface opposite to said first surface, side surfaces perpendicular to said first and second surfaces and at least one head element [12] formed on said first surface;

an actuator section [14] for precisely positioning said at least one head element [col. 8, lines 62-64; Fig. 1]; and

the head element [12] is at least one thin film magnetic head element (i.e., recording/reproducing element) [col. 15, lines 42-43].

Yanagisawa does not exemplify the actuator section including (a) a pair of movable arms capable of displacing its top end portions in response to a drive signal applied to said actuator section, both of said side surfaces of said head section being fixed to said top end portions of said

Application/Control Number: 10/081,236

Art Unit: 2627

pair of movable arms, (b) a base to be fixed to a support means of said head slider, said pair of movable arms extending from said base along said air bearing surface, and (c) a static part coupled with said base and formed between and spaced from said pair of movable arms.

Novotny shows (a) a pair of movable arms [42a, 42b] capable of displacing its top end portions in response to a drive signal applied to said actuator section, both of said side surfaces of said head section being fixed to said top end portions of said pair of movable arms, (b) a base [24] to be fixed to a support means of said head slider, said pair of movable arms extending from said base along said air bearing surface, and (c) a static part [44] coupled with said base and formed between and spaced from said pair of movable arms [col. 3, lines 49-52; col. 4, lines 5-11; Fig. 2]. Also, Novotny shows each of the pair of movable arms comprises an arm member [60], and a piezoelectric element [68] formed on or fixed to a surface of said arm member [Fig. 5, which displays one side of actuator section 42a].

In reference to claim 8, Novotny shows the air-bearing surface formed in the static part [Fig. 3].

Neither Yanagisawa nor Novotny teaches arm member made of zirconia. However, it is well known in the art that zirconia (ZrO₂ or zirconium oxide is part of a lead zirconate titanate formulation (LZT or PZT: plumbum (lead) zirconate titanate)).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the head slider of Yanagisawa with actuator sections affixed to both side surfaces of the head section as taught by Novotny.

The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide the head slider of Yanagisawa with actuator sections

Art Unit: 2627

affixed to both side surfaces of the head section as taught by Novotny in order to alter the position of the head section with respect to the main portion of the slider body [Novotny; col. 2, lines 3-7].

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Magee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7592. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8: 00 am-4: 30 pm.

PLEASE NOTE the recent change in art unit designation from art unit 2653 to art unit 2627.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,236 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher R. Mageo Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2627

June 26, 2006 crm

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER